



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/459,287	12/17/1999	KOICHI KAMIJO	JA9-98-173	9962	
7590 08/10/2004			EXAMINER		
WILLIAM A KINNAMAN JR.			SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J		
	AL PROPERTY LAW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2455 SOUTH ROAD, P386 POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12601			2134	1.6	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	. 14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	The
Advisory Action	09/459,287	KAMIJO ET AL.	v
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J Simitoski	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re- ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortener of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 June 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	pellant's Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	nin the period set for of the appeal.	th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	_	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL'	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	; :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10. Other:	2) Non		
	GREGORY MORSE SUPERVIT	· MER	G/